# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC., 425 Third Street SW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20024,	) )
Plaintiff,	) Civil Action No.
v.	)
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, Office of the General Counsel 245 Murray Lane, SW Mailstop 0485 Washington, DC 20528-0485,	) ) ) ) )
Defendant.	) ) )

# **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. brings this action against Defendant U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 ("FOIA"). As grounds therefor, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
  - 2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. is a not-for-profit, educational organization incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia and headquartered at 425 Third Street SW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20024. Plaintiff seeks to promote transparency, integrity, and accountability in government and fidelity to the rule of law. As part of its mission, Plaintiff

regularly requests records from federal agencies pursuant to FOIA. Plaintiff analyzes the agencies' responses and disseminates both its findings and the requested records to the American public to inform them about "what their government is up to."

Defendant U.S. Department of Homeland Security is an agency of the U.S.
 Government and is headquartered at 245 Murray Lane SW, Mailstop 0485, Washington, DC
 20528. Defendant has possession, custody, and control of public records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

#### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 5. On September 10, 2025, Plaintiff submitted a FOIA request via email to the United States Secret Service (USSS), a component of Defendant, seeking access to:
  - 1. All internal emails and text messages among USSS officials in the Presidential Protective Division regarding the presence of Code Pink protestors appearing at Joe's Seafood, Prime Steak & Stone Crab restaurant in Washington, DC on the evening of September 9, 2025 while President Trump and other administration officials dined there.
  - 2. All emails sent between USSS officials and any email account ending in @codepink.org.

The time frame of the request was identified as "September 1, 2025 to the present."

- 6. By letter dated September 12, 2025, Defendant acknowledged receipt of Plaintiff's request that same day and advised Plaintiff that the request had been assigned FOIA File Number: 20251740. Defendant also invoked a 10-day extension, pursuant to 6 C.F.R., Part 5 § 5.5(c), to comply with the request.
- 7. Plaintiff has received no further communication from Defendant regarding the request.
- 8. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendant has failed to: (i) determine whether to comply with the request; (ii) notify Plaintiff of any such determination or the reasons therefor;

(iii) advise Plaintiff of the right to appeal any adverse determination; or (iv) produce the requested records or otherwise demonstrate that the requested records are exempt from production.

# COUNT I (Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

- 9. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 8 as if fully stated herein.
- 10. Defendant is in violation of FOIA.
- 11. Plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by Defendant's violation of FOIA, and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendant is compelled to comply with FOIA.
  - 12. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.
- 13. To trigger FOIA's administrative exhaustion requirement, Defendant was required to make a final determination on Plaintiff's request by December 9, 2025, at the latest. Because Defendant failed to make a final determination on Plaintiff's request within the time limits required by FOIA, Plaintiff is deemed to have exhausted its administrative appeal remedies.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court: (1) order Defendant to search for any and all records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and demonstrate that it employed search methods reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (2) order Defendant to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's request and a *Vaughn* index of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption; (3) enjoin Defendant from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (4) grant Plaintiff an award of

attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and (5) grant Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 18, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kara M. Davis

Kara M. Davis D.C. Bar No. 90034377 JUDICIAL WATCH, INC. 425 Third Street SW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20024

Tel: (202) 646-5172

Email: kdavis@judicialwatch.org

Counsel for Plaintiff