

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.,	)	
425 Third Street SW, Suite 800	)	
Washington, DC 20024,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No.:
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,	)	
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	)	
Washington, DC 20530,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. brings this action against Defendant U.S. Department of Transportation to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (“FOIA”). As grounds therefor, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

**PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. is a not-for-profit, educational organization incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia and headquartered at 425 Third Street SW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20024. Plaintiff seeks to promote transparency, accountability, and integrity in government and fidelity to the rule of law. As part of its mission, Plaintiff regularly serves FOIA requests on federal agencies, analyzes the responses it receives, and

disseminates its findings and any records to the American public to inform them about “what their government is up to.”

4. Defendant U.S. Department of Justice (“Defendant”) is an agency of the U.S. Government and is headquartered at 950 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20530. Defendant has possession, custody, and control of public records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

5. On March 24, 2026, Plaintiff submitted a FOIA request to the Office of Information Policy (OIP), a component of Defendant, seeking access to the following public records:

1. All meeting notes, recordings, transcripts, calendar entries, handouts, audio-video presentations, agendas, and any other records associated with meetings held between Special Counsel Jack Smith and/or members of his team on the one hand and Chief Judge Beryl Howell on the other hand between December 2022 and April 2023.
2. All meeting notes, recordings, transcripts, calendar entries, handouts, audio-video presentations, agendas, and any other records associated with meetings held between Special Counsel Jack Smith and/or members of his team on the one hand and Judge James Boasberg on the other hand between December 2022 and April 2023.

The request was submitted via the OIP’s online FOIA portal and, accordingly, it was received the same day it was sent.

6. By letter dated March 25, 2026, the OIP acknowledged receipt of Plaintiff’s request on March 24, 2026 and advised Plaintiff that the request had been assigned tracking number FOIA-2026-03421. OIP also informed Plaintiff that it had invoked FOIA’s 10-day extension of time provision for “unusual circumstances.”

7. Plaintiff has received no further communication from the OIP regarding this request.

8. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendant has failed to: (i) determine whether to comply with Plaintiff's request; (ii) notify Plaintiff of any such determination or the reasons therefor; (iii) advise Plaintiff of the right to appeal any adverse determination; or (iv) produce the requested records or otherwise demonstrate the requested records are exempt from production.

**COUNT I**  
**(Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)**

9. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 8 as if fully stated herein.

10. Defendant is in violation of FOIA.

11. Plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by Defendant's violation of FOIA, and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendant is compelled to comply with the law.

12. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

13. To trigger FOIA's administrative exhaustion requirement, Defendant was required to make a final determination on Plaintiff's request by May 5, 2026, at the latest. Because Defendant failed to issue a final determination on Plaintiff's request within the time limits set by FOIA, Plaintiff is deemed to have exhausted its administrative appeal remedies.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court: (1) order Defendant to conduct a search for any and all records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and demonstrate that it employed search methods reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (2) order Defendant to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and *Vaughn* index of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption; (3) enjoin Defendant from continuing to withhold

any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (4) grant Plaintiff an award of attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and (5) grant Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 8, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James F. Peterson  
James F. Peterson  
D.C. Bar No. 450171  
JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.  
425 Third Street SW, Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20024  
Tel: (202) 646-5175  
Email: [jpeterson@judicialwatch.org](mailto:jpeterson@judicialwatch.org)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*